



The Institute for Pure and Applied Knowledge

2912 Kilcairn Lane
Allison Park, PA 15101
(412) 728 8743

2/20/2019

Vice Admiral Jerome M. Adams, MD, MPH
Surgeon General of the United States
1101 Wootton Parkway
Rockville, MD 20852

Dear Surgeon General Adams:

As a research scientist who has dedicated his life to the reduction of human pain and suffering through knowledge, and author of the deeply researched book “Ebola: An Evolving Story”, “The Environmental and Genetic Causes of Autism”, and “Cures vs. Profits: Successes in Translational Research”, I writing to you with a counterpoint view to that proposed in a letter to you by California State Senator Richard Pan dated 2/19/2019.

Surgeon General Adams, measles is not Ebola. The risk of death from measles was, according the US CDC statistics, 1.62 per 10,000 infections – and this was in 1960. The population of 180,000,000 people was completely unvaccinated. Some incorrectly cite 1-2 per 1,000, but they are wrong. The risk of death due to measles in the US at the population-wide rate is therefore at most 0.0001 to 0.2 per 10,000.

I would ask you to contrast this risk to the known risks rates of specific adverse events from vaccines. This risk is not equally shared among families; there appears to be a heterogenous genetic subgroup with increased specific risk to serious adverse events. I would ask you to consider the rates of adverse event reported by The World Health Organization in 2014, just from the MMR vaccine:

- Thrombocytopenia 1 in 30,000
- Meningitis of 1 to 100 per 100,000 (depending on the strain of mumps)
- Febrile seizures of 1 in 2,000 to 3,000
- Acute arthritis of 1 in 10 (rubella)

I have evaluated the studies used by CDC to allegedly demonstrate that vaccines do not cause autism, and I assure you that I am firm ground to state the following points:

- The decision in 2012 by the IOM to find no link between vaccines and autism was influenced by pressure from the CDC.
- That decision relied on four small, underpowered “association” studies, which do not test causality. Two of the studies did not have proper control groups, and one which three

- independent analyses have determined was fraudulent. Incredibly, IOM called for an end to science.
- Dr. William Thompson of the CDC represented study design and data analysis on the question to be routinely corrupted to Dr. Brian Hooker in recorded phone calls, which are widely available to study both in video and transcript form.
 - Not all vaccines have been tested for association let alone causality of autism.
 - A meta-analysis conducted included results from low-powered, biased and likely fraudulent studies.
 - Some studies exist that DO find association between vaccines and autism, but they are not included on the CDC lists of studies on the question.
 - My independent appraisal of the studies sent by AAP to POTUS last year found that the list is biased (cherry-picked) and the studies themselves are, to a study, not capable of testing the hypothesis of causality. In an objective scoring approach where the maximum score is +12, my analysis found a single study with a positive score, and the mean score was -6.61. That analysis is enclosed.
 - In my view, no credible scientist could review the full set of studies available and conclude that there is sufficient evidence to say that vaccines do not cause autism.
 - Families of children with autism figured out that their children cannot conduct sufficient cellular detoxification before science confirmed their views.

If CDC and IOM got this one wrong, which sadly, I believe they did, vaccine programs without exemptions held by parents who see the signs of adverse events from vaccines in their families will increase autism rates nationwide ten-fold. I fully appreciate that this presents you with a political, but not a medical dilemma. Removal of exemptions by Dr. Pan's legislation was followed by a 7% jump in autism rates in California. Autism rates are already 1 in 56 (four-year old data from CDC) and may be as high as 1 in 25 in boys born nine years. A ten-fold increase would lead us to 10 in 56, or 1 in 6 children born in the US who will be diagnosed with autism. I have studied the literature deeply and derived a highly plausible integrated theory of how, at the molecular, cellular, organ and systemic level, vaccines may cause autism in some people. Epidemiology studies are at odds with the rest of the literature, and CDC failed to report to Congress every two years, as mandated by Congress in the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act, on progress to make vaccines safer and to identify susceptible individuals. Clearly, families figure out their children cannot tolerate vaccines; that information must be respected, even if doctors fail to recognize those injuries.

You hold the position as *all* American families' doctor. Doctors around the country are unethically penalizing parents who are merely exercising their rights, under State and Federal Laws, to refuse a medical procedure and to participate in the uncontrolled population-wide long-term vaccine schedule safety study. These informed parents are exercising their rights to informed consent. I urge you to consider that post-marketing surveillance of vaccine safety fails to provide free, prior and informed consent to American families as part of human subjects research, in direct violation of the safeguards provided by the National Research Act [Title II, Public Law 93-348], Regulations for the Protection of Human Subjects of Biomedical and Behavioral Research [45 CFR 46] and revisions of various regulations, rules, and laws ([21 CFR 50, [21 CFR 56], [45 CFR 46 Subpart D], [10 CFR 745], which guarantee pregnant women, fetuses and children special protections [45 CFR 46 Subpart B, 45 CFR 46

Subpart D]. FDA recently conceded that the use of vaccine during pregnancy are not based on safety studies conducted during pregnancy. I urge you to also consider the Nuremberg Code, and the Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights.

In short, US regulatory agencies have not done their job. Now, efforts to strip exemption rights away will force maximum vaccine injury. I write to you on behalf of the hundreds of thousands and perhaps millions of parents of children who have already take a hit for the team. These families are met with denialism, disdain and ridicule where there should be gratitude. Vaccine injury denialism short-circuits so-called pharmacovigilance – and also has prevented much-needed research on how to safely remove mercury and aluminum from the brain of kids afflicted with vaccine-induced encephalopathy-mediated autism.

We ask to you to please respect bodily autonomy, parents' rights. And please help us reverse course by demanding an independent, properly conducted series of prospective randomized placebo-controlled studies of vaccinated vs. unvaccinated children and call for HHS to get to the business of fulfilling the 1986 mandates.

As you likely know, first amendment rights are under fire as well, and so I enclose my letter to Mark Zuckerberg of Facebook to continue to honor first freedoms.

Sincerely,



James Lyons-Weiler, PhD
The Institute for Pure and Applied Knowledge
Pittsburgh, PA USA

/Encl

